

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,030	05/25/2001	Raghbir S. Bhullar	RDID 0090 US	4269	
32842	7590 01/11/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE LAW OFFICE OF JILL L. WOODBURN, L.L.C.			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P		
ЛLL L. WO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	OGDEN DUNES, IN 46368		1743		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/866,030	BHULLAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel P Siefke	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	8/04.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<u></u>				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-15 and 20-23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-15 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/07/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/866,030

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims **1-8,10-15** and **20-23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nankai (USPN 5,120,420).

Nankai teaches a biosensor that comprises a support substrate (1), electrodes positioned on the support substrate (2,3,3') and electrode system (4,5,5'), an insulating layer (6), a reaction layer (14) composed of an enzyme and an electron acceptor and being provided thereron with a space (8, channel) defined by a spacer (7) with members (fig.4 U shaped), an a cover (9). When the support substrate, spacer and members, and cover are sandwiched together a channel (8) is formed (fig. 4-6). When a biological sample solution is brought into contact with the inlet (10) of the biosensor, the sample

Page 3

Art Unit: 1743.

solution is introduced into its insides through inlet (10) wherein the sample fills the space (8). With regards to claim 4-6, the claims only require that the spacer have members. The broadest possible reading on this would be a spacer layer that has members formed from an original spacer (fig.4). In Fig. 4, there is a U shaped spacer. The base part of the U would be member 1, the left part of the U would be member 2, and the right part of the U would be member 3. Claim 6 requires that a the second and third members are spaced apart, Fig 4 shows this configuration and further a channel (8) extends between the first, second, and third members. Fig 12 shows further embodiments of the spacer layer and multiple members to create multiple channels.

Nankai does not teach a T-shaped channel defined by a cover and a support substrate. With regards to the T-shaped channel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shape of the channel. The change in shape is to allow the sample flow to be directed to different electrodes (see fig. 12). (Changes of size, degree, shape proportion, and sequence of adding ingredients – In re Rose, 105 U.S.P.Q. 237; In re Aller et al., 105 U.S.P.Q. 233; In re Daily et. al., 149 U.S.P.Q. 47; In re Reese, 129 U.S.P.Q. 402; In re Gibson, 45 U.S.P.Q. 284.).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **1-8,10-15** and **20-23** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 571-272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,030

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam P. Siefke

January 7, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 5